

At the WG1/12 meeting in Utrecht, attendees discussed Flimsy 1 below from the WG2/14 meeting in Rio de Janeiro, and agreed that:

- 1. They assume the work will be completed by ATNP/3, but**
- 2. They requested a schedule impact and technical feasibility analysis for the Bordeaux meetings.**

FLIMSY 1
WG2/14
16 March 1998
Rio de Janeiro

**WG2 FLIMSY to WG1
Suggested Work Required by ATNP/3**

Because WG2 perceives that WG1/SG2 (security) and WG1/SG3 (system management) have not included consideration of connectionless upper layer and internet service, and for the multicast capability using the connectionless upper layer and internet service;

Therefore, WG2 suggests that WG1 consider both the actual development of, and the tasking of additional development of, appropriate SARPs and GM for both security and system management associated with the connectionless upper layer and internet service, and with the multitask capability over the connectionless upper layer and internet service.

For security, the mechanism to authenticate peer applications (e.g., AOC, SAM, etc.) using the connectionless upper layer and internet service, and authentication among multicast peer applications should be addressed.

For system management, appropriate MOs should be identified and documented.

